

## Duality for multiparametric quantum $GL(n)$

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1999 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 443

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/32/2/017>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.105

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 07:31

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

ADDENDUM

Duality for multiparametric quantum  $GL(n)$

Vladimir K Dobrev†§ and Preeti Parashar‡

† Arnold Sommerfeld Inst. f. Math. Physics, Tech. Univ. Clausthal, Leibnizstrasse 10, 38678 Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany

‡ Departamento de Fisica, Universidad de Burgos, Plaza Misael Banuelos, 09001 Burgos, Spain

Received 12 October 1998

**Abstract.** In our paper (Dobrev V K and Parashar P 1993 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 26 6991–7002) we have constructed the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{U}$  as dual to the quantum group deformation of  $GL(n)$  (depending on  $1 + n(n - 1)/2$  parameters), and we have shown that  $\mathcal{U}$  has one-generator central Hopf subalgebra and a commutation subalgebra  $\mathcal{U}'$  which is a Drinfeld–Jimbo-like deformation of  $U(sl(n))$ , but which is not a Hopf subalgebra since its coproducts depend also on the central generator. In this addendum we show that  $\mathcal{U}'$  is a Hopf subalgebra, besides the trivial case of coinciding parameters, also for a special choice of the parameters, so that there would be only  $1 + (n - 1)(n - 2)/2$  independent parameters.

In the main text [1] we have constructed the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{U} \equiv \mathcal{U}_{uq}$  as the dual to the multiparameter matrix quantum group  $GL_{uq}(n)$  (depending on the parameter  $u$  and  $n(n - 1)/2$  parameters  $\mathbf{q} = \{q_{ij} | j - i > 0\}$ ) and we have shown that  $\mathcal{U}$  may be split in the form:  $U_{u,q}(sl(n, \mathbb{C})) \otimes U_u(\mathcal{Z})$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}$  is one-dimensional spanned by the generator  $K$  (cf (29)) and  $U_u(\mathcal{Z})$  is a central Hopf subalgebra of  $\mathcal{U}$ , while  $\mathcal{U}' = U_{u,q}(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$  is a deformation of  $U(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$  which is of Drinfeld–Jimbo form with deformation parameter  $u$  as a commutation subalgebra of  $\mathcal{U}$ . However,  $\mathcal{U}'$  is not a Hopf subalgebra of  $\mathcal{U}$  since the coproducts of  $\mathcal{U}'$  depend also on the generator  $K$ . Furthermore, these coproducts depend on all parameters  $u$  and  $\mathbf{q}$ . We have also noticed that  $\mathcal{U}'$  is a Hopf subalgebra if all parameters coincide.

In this addendum we show that  $\mathcal{U}'$  is a Hopf subalgebra also for a special choice of the parameters, so that there would be only  $1 + (n - 1)(n - 2)/2$  independent parameters:  $u$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} = \{q_{ij} | j - i > 1\}$ . In this special case the central generator  $K$  decouples from the coproducts of the generators of  $\mathcal{U}' = U_{u,\tilde{\mathbf{q}}}(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$  as in the one-parameter deformation, however, these coproducts depend also on  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}$ .

To make this explicit we first need to express the operators  $\mathcal{P}_i$  (cf (43), and through them  $\mathcal{Q}_i$ , cf (45)) in terms of the generators  $H_i$  and  $K$ . For this we first express the generators  $D_i$  through  $H_i$  and  $K$ :

$$D_i = \frac{1}{n} \left( K - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} j H_j + \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} (n - j) H_j \right) = \hat{K} + \hat{H}_i \tag{A.1}$$

$$\hat{K} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \left( K - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j H_j \right) \quad \hat{H}_i \equiv \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} H_j \quad (\hat{H}_n \equiv 0).$$

§ E-mail address: ptvd@pt.tu-clausthal.de

Now we substitute (A.1) into (43) to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_i &= (\tilde{q}_i)^{\hat{K}} \left( \prod_{s=1}^{i-1} \left( \frac{q_{si}}{q_{s,i+1}} \right)^{\hat{H}_s} \right) \left( \frac{u^2}{q_{i,i+1}} \right)^{\hat{H}_i} \left( \frac{1}{q_{i,i+1}} \right)^{\hat{H}_{i+1}} \prod_{t=i+2}^{n-1} \left( \frac{q_{i+1,t}}{q_{it}} \right)^{\hat{H}_t} \\ \tilde{q}_i &\equiv \left( \prod_{s=1}^{i-1} \frac{q_{si}}{q_{s,i+1}} \right) \frac{u^2}{q_{i,i+1}^2} \prod_{t=i+2}^n \frac{q_{i+1,t}}{q_{it}}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

From the above expression it is clear that in order for  $K$  to decouple from the system the  $n - 1$  constants  $\tilde{q}_i$  should become equal to unity. This brings  $n - 1$  conditions on the parameters  $q_{ij}$ . It seems natural to use these conditions to fix the  $n - 1$  next-to-main-diagonal parameters  $q_{i,i+1}$ , and indeed, a natural choice for this exists, namely, we may set:

$$\begin{aligned} q_{i,i+1}^0 &\equiv u^{i(n-i)} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq i \\ i+1 \leq t \leq n \\ s < t-1}} q_{st}^{-1} \\ &= u \widetilde{\prod_{s=1}^i \prod_{t=i+1}^n} \frac{u}{q_{st}} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

where the tilde over the double product means that the case  $s = i = t - 1$  should be omitted. Then we obtain:

$$(\tilde{q}_i)_{q_{i,i+1}=q_{i,i+1}^0} = 1 \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \tag{A.4}$$

and substituting this in the operators  $\mathcal{P}_i$  we get in terms of  $\hat{H}_i$  and in terms of  $H_i$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_i &\equiv (\mathcal{P}_i)_{q_{i,i+1}=q_{i,i+1}^0} = \left( \prod_{s=1}^{i-2} \left( \frac{q_{si}}{q_{s,i+1}} \right)^{\hat{H}_s} \right) \left( \frac{u}{q_{i-1,i+1}} \widetilde{\prod_{s=1}^{i-1} \prod_{t=i}^n} \frac{u}{q_{st}} \right)^{\hat{H}_{i-1}} \\ &\quad \times u^{\hat{H}_i - \hat{H}_{i+1}} \left( \widetilde{\prod_{s=1}^i \prod_{t=i+1}^n} \frac{q_{st}}{u} \right)^{\hat{H}_i + \hat{H}_{i+1}} \left( \frac{u}{q_{i,i+2}} \widetilde{\prod_{s=1}^{i+1} \prod_{t=i+2}^n} \frac{u}{q_{st}} \right)^{\hat{H}_{i+2}} \prod_{t=i+3}^{n-1} \left( \frac{q_{i+1,t}}{q_{it}} \right)^{\hat{H}_t} \\ &= \left( \prod_{j=1}^{i-2} \left( \prod_{s=1}^j \frac{q_{si}}{q_{s,i+1}} \right)^{H_j} \right) \left( \left( \prod_{s=1}^{i-1} \frac{u^2}{q_{s,i+1}^2} \right) \prod_{s=1}^{i-1} \prod_{t=i+2}^n \frac{u}{q_{st}} \right)^{H_{i-1}} \\ &\quad \times \left( u \left( \prod_{s=1}^{i-1} \frac{u}{q_{s,i+1}} \right) \prod_{t=i+2}^n \frac{q_{it}}{u} \right)^{H_i} \left( \left( \prod_{t=i+2}^n \frac{q_{it}^2}{u^2} \right) \prod_{s=1}^{i-1} \prod_{t=i+2}^n \frac{q_{st}}{u} \right)^{H_{i+1}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \prod_{j=i+2}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{t=j+1}^n \frac{q_{it}}{q_{i+1,t}} \right)^{H_j} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

Thus, for the particular choice  $q_{i,i+1} = q_{i,i+1}^0$  we have the splitting  $\mathcal{U}_{u\tilde{q}} \cong U_{u,\tilde{q}}(sl(n, \mathbb{C})) \otimes U_u(\mathcal{Z})$  as tensor product of two Hopf subalgebras. Note that  $U_{u,\tilde{q}}(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$  is a Hopf algebra which is a deformation of  $U(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$ , and is of Drinfeld–Jimbo form with deformation parameter  $u$  as commutation algebra, but not as a coalgebra since the coproducts depend also on  $\tilde{q}$ .

**References**

[1] Dobrev V K and Parashar P 1993 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26** 6991–7002